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The molecule of the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{8}$, shows approximate $D_{2}$ symmetry. The dihedral angle formed by the two rings is $60.2(1)^{\circ}$.

## Comment

Our earlier work on halogen bonding shows that I $\cdots \mathrm{O}$ and especially I $\cdots \mathrm{N}$ interactions are strong and can successfully be used in supramolecular assembly (Cardillo et al., 2000). We expect that highly polarizable Br atoms bonded to an electronpoor aromatic ring, as in the commercially available title compound, (I), would be used as building blocks for supramolecular assembly, notwithstanding that $\mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{O}$ interactions are weaker than the corresponding I $\cdots \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{O}$ halogen bonds. We decided to study (I) in order to have a yardstick for evaluating the effect of supramolecular assembly involving such a compound.


The molecule of (I) crystallizes in a general position but presents approximate $D_{2}$ symmetry, with a molecular r.m.s. of 0.0277 Å (Pilati \& Forni, 1999, 2000). Bond distances and angles are in the normal ranges $[\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br} 1.872$ (3) and 1.876 (3) Å, C-F 1.334 (4)-1.344 (4) Å, and aromatic C-C 1.370 (4)-1.386 (4) Å]. The length of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond between the rings is 1.483 (4) $\AA$.

The main feature of biphenyl derivatives is the dihedral angle between the two rings. These molecules reach a compromise between conjugation of $\pi$-orbitals of the aromatic system and repulsion between the transannular ortho-bonded atoms. In (I), the contacts between the substituents at the 2 - and 6-positions, F1 $\cdots$ F5 and F4 $\cdots$ F8, are 2.884 (4) and
2.881 (3) Å, respectively, with a dihedral angle between the phenyl rings of $60.2(1)^{\circ}$; such values are identical (to within one s.u.) to those found in perfluorobiphenyl (Gleason \& Britton, 1976). This dihedral angle is sometimes smaller in other similar compounds; for example, the same perfluorobiphenyl, when cocrystallized with biphenyl (Naae, 1979), presents an angle of $50.5^{\circ}$. These values are much larger and differ less than those found for $2,2^{\prime}, 6,6^{\prime}$-unsubstituted biphenyls; for example, in the previously cited work of Naae (1979), biphenyl shows a dihedral angle of $36.4^{\circ}$, while in p-terphenyl (Hori \& Nishiura, 1996), the same angle is near $0^{\circ}$.


Figure 1
The molecular structure of (I) with the atom-numbering scheme, showing $50 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids.

The packing of (I) is characterized by a pair of molecules linked through a centre of symmetry; rings $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6$ and $\mathrm{C} 1^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{i}}$ [symmetry code: (i) $-x,-y, 1-z$ ] are placed in such a way that there is a significant interaction between the $\pi$ orbital of the $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ bond and $\mathrm{Br} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$, with $\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{Br}$ distances of 3.598 (4) and 3.699 (4) $\AA$. The molecular minimum-inertia axes are parallel or, if related by a screw axis or a glide plane, nearly parallel. The molecules show a head-to-tail $\mathrm{Br} 2 \cdots \mathrm{Br} 1^{\mathrm{ii}}$ contact [symmetry code: (ii) $x, y, 1+z$ ] of 3.654 (1) $\AA$, with $\mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{Br}-$ C angles of 134.2 (1) and $136.0(1)^{\circ}$.

## Experimental

Compound (I) was obtained as a commercial product ex Aldrich. Suitable crystals of (I) were obtained from a solution in acetone.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{8}$
$M_{r}=455.94$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$
$a=13.5489$ (13) £
$b=7.4975$ (10) $\AA$
$c=13.6783$ (12) A
$\beta=110.309(8)^{\circ}$
$V=1303.1$ (2) $\AA^{3}$
$Z=4$

## Data collection

Siemens $P 4$ diffractometer
$2 \theta / \omega$ scans
Absorption correction: $\psi$ scan
(North et al., 1968)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.253, T_{\text {max }}=0.364$
5995 measured reflections
2993 independent reflections
1594 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$D_{x}=2.324 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 40 reflections
$\theta=5.43-12.87^{\circ}$
$\mu=6.308 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=291$ (1) K
Wedge, colourless
$0.32 \times 0.20 \times 0.16 \mathrm{~mm}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\text {int }}=0.042 \\
& \theta_{\max }=27.5^{\circ} \\
& h=0 \rightarrow 17 \\
& k=-9 \rightarrow 9 \\
& l=-17 \rightarrow 16 \\
& 3 \text { standard reflections } \\
& \quad \text { every } 197 \text { reflections } \\
& \text { intensity decay: none }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.034$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.063$
$S=0.830$
2993 reflections
200 parameters
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.0237 P)^{2}\right]$
$\quad$ where $P=\left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.034$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.002$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.32 \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.37 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
Extinction correction: SHELXL97
(Sheldrick, 1997)
Extinction coefficient: 0.0015 (3)
where $P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$

Data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1991); cell refinement: $X S C A N S$; data reduction: XSCANS; program(s) used to solve structure: SIR92 (Altomare et al., 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEPIII (Burnett \& Johnson, 1996); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97 and PARST (Nardelli, 1983).

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: NA1487). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.
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